

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/679,180 | 10/03/2003 | William L. Black | 2063.005800 | 2309 | |
| 23720 | 7590 08/10/2005 | | EXAM | INER | |
| WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C. | | | GILMAN, AI | GILMAN, ALEXANDER | |
| 10333 RICHN HOUSTON, | MOND, SUITE 1100 TX 77042 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | · | 2833 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/10/2003 | DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | H·A |
|--|--|---|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 10/679,180 | BLACK ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Alexander D. Gilman | 2833 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the | e correspondence addres: | s |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP | | • | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the foll places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a nature at the application of the following the periods: | owing replies: (1) an amendment, Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ince with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply | affidavit, or other evidence, in compliance with 37 CFR 4 | which 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing day b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this | | rth in the final rejection, whiche | wer is later. In |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire | | | ver is later. III |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) on TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | HE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED | OWITHIN C |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The da have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of th set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office la may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in cor | extension and the corresponding amou e shortened statutory period for reply of ter than three months after the mailing (b). | int of the fee. The appropriate or originally set in the final Office and date of the final rejection, even | extension fee action; or (2) as a if timely filed, |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ex a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file | tension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)) | , to avoid dismissal of the ap | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection They raise new issues that would require further of They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be They are not deemed to place the application in both controls. | consideration and/or search (see Nelow); | NOTE below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling | a corresponding number of finally | rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a) | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1 | | Compliant Amendment (PT | OL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(| • • ——— | ha Almandi Ellanda arasındır. | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). | allowable if submitted in a separat | e, timely filed amendment o | canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | will be entered and an expla | anation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | · | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | but before or on the date of filing a and sufficient reasons why the affic | Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be lavit or other evidence is ne | entered cessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filir entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess. | o overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apary and was not earlier presented. | peal and/or appellant fails to See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). | o provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanat REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered to the art of record references deems to properly reject | | n in condition for allowance | because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s |). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape | r No(s) | |

ALEXANDER GILMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

13. Other: ____.